2696

From:

meredithjoanne@ymail.com

Sent: To: Saturday, October 03, 2009 2:16 PM

IRRO

Subject:

Oppose Keystone Exam Regulations

-ma cort \_5 10 10 17

INDEPENSES ECOLOGY RESEARCH ESSON

345 Beacon Road Renfrew, PA 16053-9406

October 3, 2009

Joanne Meredith

Arthur Coccodrilli Chairman Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

Dear IRRC:

I am writing to oppose approval of the so-called "Keystone Exam" regulations. These regulations will be harmful to students with intellectual and developmental disabilities. • The regulations allow students with IEPs to be exempted from having to pass the exams. Schools would be less accountable to students with intellectual and developmental disabilities and their parents. It is likely that less attention would be paid by teachers to students who do not have to take and pass the tests.

• The regulations are unclear about whether alternative tests would be available to students with IEPs for all 10 exams. • The regulations are not specific with respect to whether students with disabilities could utilize reasonable accommodations - accommodations that they may utilize in their everyday school work. Would these accommodations be allowed

during the testing process? • The price tag for these exams has been estimated between \$208 and \$240 million dollars. Special education received a 0% increase in state spending this year. The money for "Keystone Exams" would be better utilized to study and modify the current PSSA and PASA testing system and/or improve the special education system to provide the support needed by students with disabilities so they can be included in regular classes in their neighborhood school, which would help them succeed in both school and adult life after special education.

Please take these regulations off the fast track. Instead, vote them down. Slow down and investigate how these tests have impacted students with disabilities in other states who have similar regulations. Invest in educational programming that will support students with disabilities, including remedial supports, curriculum modification and teacher supports, before approving a new testing system that could adversely impact students with disabilities.

Thank you,

Joanne Meredith

2696

From:

dbroderick@thearcnepa.org

Sent: To: Monday, October 05, 2009 3:06 PM

IRR

Subject:

Oppose Keystone Exam Regulations

HELIEVEL

2007 POT -5 PM 3: 24

WORFWOOM REGULATORY

Don Broderick 342 Lakewood Drive South Abington Twp., PA 18411-1328

October 5, 2009

Arthur Coccodrilli Chairman Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

Dear IRRC:

I am writing to oppose approval of the so-called "Keystone Exam" regulations. These regulations will be harmful to students with intellectual and developmental disabilities. • The regulations allow students with IEPs to be exempted from having to pass the exams. Schools would be less accountable to students with intellectual and developmental disabilities and their parents. It is likely that less attention would be paid by teachers to students who do not have to take and pass the tests.

• The regulations are unclear about whether alternative tests would be available to students with IEPs for all 10 exams. • The regulations are not specific with respect to whether students with disabilities could utilize reasonable accommodations - accommodations that they may utilize in their everyday school work. Would these accommodations be allowed

during the testing process? • The price tag for these exams has been estimated between \$208 and \$240 million dollars. Special education received a 0% increase in state spending this year. The money for "Keystone Exams" would be better utilized to study and modify the current PSSA and PASA testing system and/or improve the special education system to provide the support needed by students with disabilities so they can be included in regular classes in their neighborhood school, which would help them succeed in both school and adult life after special education.

Please take these regulations off the fast track. Instead, vote them down. Slow down and investigate how these tests have impacted students with disabilities in other states who have similar regulations. Invest in educational programming that will support students with disabilities, including remedial supports, curriculum modification and teacher supports, before approving a new testing system that could adversely impact students with disabilities.

Thank you,

Don Broderick

2696

From:

apacheco@visionforequality.org

Sent: To: Monday, October 05, 2009 12:31 PM

IRR

Subject:

Oppose Keystone Exam Regulations

2009 NCT -5 PN 3: 24

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVENUE ODES ASSON

Ana Pacheco 718 Arch Street, 6N floor Philadelphia, PA 19106

October 5, 2009

Arthur Coccodrilli Chairman Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

Dear IRRC:

I am writing to oppose approval of the so-called "Keystone Exam" regulations. These regulations will be harmful to students with intellectual and developmental disabilities. • The regulations allow students with IEPs to be exempted from having to pass the exams. Schools would be less accountable to students with intellectual and developmental disabilities and their parents. It is likely that less attention would be paid by teachers to students who do not have to take and pass the tests.

• The regulations are unclear about whether alternative tests would be available to students with IEPs for all 10 exams. • The regulations are not specific with respect to whether students with disabilities could utilize reasonable accommodations - accommodations that they may utilize in their everyday school work. Would these accommodations be allowed

during the testing process? • The price tag for these exams has been estimated between \$208 and \$240 million dollars. Special education received a 0% increase in state spending this year. The money for "Keystone Exams" would be better utilized to study and modify the current PSSA and PASA testing system and/or improve the special education system to provide the support needed by students with disabilities so they can be included in regular classes in their neighborhood school, which would help them succeed in both school and adult life after special education.

Please take these regulations off the fast track. Instead, vote them down. Slow down and investigate how these tests have impacted students with disabilities in other states who have similar regulations. Invest in educational programming that will support students with disabilities, including remedial supports, curriculum modification and teacher supports, before approving a new testing system that could adversely impact students with disabilities.

Thank you,

Ana M. Pacheco

#2696

From:

skluge@arcofl-n.org

Sent:

Tuesday, October 06, 2009 2:01 PM

To:

Subject:

Oppose Keystone Exam Regulations

Susan Kluge 2289 Avenue A Bethlehem, PA 18017-2107

October 6, 2009

Arthur Coccodrilli Chairman Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

Dear IRRC:

I am writing to oppose approval of the so-called "Keystone Exam" regulations. These regulations will be harmful to students with intellectual and developmental disabilities. • The regulations allow students with IEPs to be exempted from having to pass the exams. Schools would be less accountable to students with intellectual and developmental disabilities and their parents. It is likely that less attention would be paid by teachers to students who do not have to take and pass the tests.

• The regulations are unclear about whether alternative tests would be available to students with IEPs for all 10 exams. • The regulations are not specific with respect to whether students with disabilities could utilize reasonable accommodations - accommodations that they may utilize in their everyday school work. Would these accommodations be allowed

during the testing process? • The price tag for these exams has been estimated between \$208 and \$240 million dollars. Special education received a 0% increase in state spending this year. The money for "Keystone Exams" would be better utilized to study and modify the current PSSA and PASA testing system and/or improve the special education system to provide the support needed by students with disabilities so they can be included in regular classes in their neighborhood school, which would help them succeed in both school and adult life after special education.

Please take these regulations off the fast track. Instead, vote them down. Slow down and investigate how these tests have impacted students with disabilities in other states who have similar regulations. Invest in educational programming that will support students with disabilities, including remedial supports, curriculum modification and teacher supports, before approving a new testing system that could adversely impact students with disabilities.

Thank you,

Susan Kluge

#2494

From:

auroraasmith@comcast.net

IRRC

Sent:

Tuesday, October 06, 2009 2:46 PM

To:

0;

Subject:

Oppose Keystone Exam Regulations

Aurora Smith 3515 Campus Street Pittsburgh, PA 15212-2205

October 6, 2009

Arthur Coccodrilli Chairman Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

Dear IRRC:

I am writing to oppose approval of the so-called "Keystone Exam" regulations. These regulations will be harmful to students with intellectual and developmental disabilities. • The regulations allow students with IEPs to be exempted from having to pass the exams. Schools would be less accountable to students with intellectual and developmental disabilities and their parents. It is likely that less attention would be paid by teachers to students who do not have to take and pass the tests.

• The regulations are unclear about whether alternative tests would be available to students with IEPs for all 10 exams. • The regulations are not specific with respect to whether students with disabilities could utilize reasonable accommodations - accommodations that they may utilize in their everyday school work. Would these accommodations be allowed

during the testing process? • The price tag for these exams has been estimated between \$208 and \$240 million dollars. Special education received a 0% increase in state spending this year. The money for "Keystone Exams" would be better utilized to study and modify the current PSSA and PASA testing system and/or improve the special education system to provide the support needed by students with disabilities so they can be included in regular classes in their neighborhood school, which would help them succeed in both school and adult life after special education.

Please take these regulations off the fast track. Instead, vote them down. Slow down and investigate how these tests have impacted students with disabilities in other states who have similar regulations. Invest in educational programming that will support students with disabilities, including remedial supports, curriculum modification and teacher supports, before approving a new testing system that could adversely impact students with disabilities.

Thank you,

Aurora A Smith

\$26.96

From:

cgardner6125@comcast.net

Sent:

Wednesday, October 07, 2009 1:36 AM

To: IRF

Subject:

Oppose Keystone Exam Regulations

Carrie GArdner 6125 Fredanna Street Pittsburgh, PA 15207-2429

October 7, 2009

Arthur Coccodrilli Chairman Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

Dear IRRC:

I am writing to oppose approval of the so-called "Keystone Exam" regulations. These regulations will be harmful to students with intellectual and developmental disabilities. • The regulations allow students with IEPs to be exempted from having to pass the exams. Schools would be less accountable to students with intellectual and developmental disabilities and their parents. It is likely that less attention would be paid by teachers to students who do not have to take and pass the tests.

• The regulations are unclear about whether alternative tests would be available to students with IEPs for all 10 exams. • The regulations are not specific with respect to whether students with disabilities could utilize reasonable accommodations - accommodations that they may utilize in their everyday school work. Would these accommodations be allowed

during the testing process? • The price tag for these exams has been estimated between \$208 and \$240 million dollars. Special education received a 0% increase in state spending this year. The money for "Keystone Exams" would be better utilized to study and modify the current PSSA and PASA testing system and/or improve the special education system to provide the support needed by students with disabilities so they can be included in regular classes in their neighborhood school, which would help them succeed in both school and adult life after special education.

Please take these regulations off the fast track. Instead, vote them down. Slow down and investigate how these tests have impacted students with disabilities in other states who have similar regulations. Invest in educational programming that will support students with disabilities, including remedial supports, curriculum modification and teacher supports, before approving a new testing system that could adversely impact students with disabilities.

Thank you,

Carrie GArdner